

Appendix 3 – Proposals where objections are not upheld and are proposed to be implemented as advertised

1. Site 9 Glengorse, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)

1.1 The proposal at this location is to replace the 19 existing permit holders or time limited Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays.

1.2 Eight objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that it will encourage commuters to park as it would be cheaper than Battle Station car park, there wouldn't be enough spaces for residents and the proposals ignore the wishes of residents.

1.3 The proposal follows monitoring of the area and a need to better utilise the available kerb space.

1.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. At present there are a total of 31 parking spaces with 9 active permits issued. The proposals are set out to increase the usage while maintaining space for residents and those wishing to park without charge for 2 hours.

1.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

2. Site 10 High Street, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)

2.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay by extending the Blue Badge Holders Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay, and to replace goods vehicle loading only Mon to Sat 6am-5pm with goods vehicle loading only 6am-5pm for all days of the week.

2.2 One objection has been received from a local business objecting to a restriction already in place and not relating to the proposal.

2.3 The proposal follows a request to increase the provision of disabled parking and increase the days of operation of the loading bay.

2.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

2.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

3. Site 11 High Street, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)

3.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay by extending the Blue Badge Holders Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay.

3.2 One objection has been received from a local business objecting to a restriction already in place and not relating to the proposal. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

3.3 The proposal follows a request to increase the provision of disabled parking.

3.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

3.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

4. Site 12 Sunny Rise and North Trade Road, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)

4.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction to Sunny Rise.

4.2 Two objections have been received from members of the public making new requests unrelated to the proposal. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

4.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for junction protection markings.

4.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. New requests will be considered as part of the next Rother Parking Review.

4.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

5. Site 13 Hastings Road, The Spinney, Starrs Mead and Starr's Green Lane, Battle (Councillor Kathryn Field)

5.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Hastings Road at the junction to Starr's Green Lane and The Spinney, continuing along the west side of The Spinney into its junction with Starrs Mead.

5.2 Two objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that people don't park on the west side of The Spinney so the proposals are a waste of money.

5.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings due to an increase in vehicles parking close to the junctions.

5.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

5.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

6. Site 14 Ninfield Road, Turkey Road and All Saints Lane, Bexhill (Councillor Abul Azad)

6.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Ninfield Road at the junction to Turkey Road and All Saints Lane, continuing along the north side of All Saints Lane to its junction with Ninfield Road, school keep clear no stopping Mon to Fri 8-9:30am and 2:30-4pm and no waiting Mon to Fri 8am-6pm on the south side of All Saints Lane.

6.2 Three objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that there isn't enough parking for residents, objecting to restrictions already in place and seeking further restrictions in Turkey Road. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

6.3 The proposal follows requests from Councillor Ensor for school keep clear markings and from Councillor Azad for yellow lines. A request was also submitted by a local resident.

6.4 It has been noted that vehicles tend to park on the south side of All Saints Lane, the proposal for this section will allow residents to park here outside of the times of restriction. Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

6.5 Councillor Azad has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

6.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

7. Site 15 Barrack Road, Crowmere Avenue, Silvester Road and Hanover Close, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)

7.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Barrack Road at its junctions with Hanover Close, Crowmere Avenue and Silvester Road.

7.2 Four objections have been received from members of the public on the grounds that vehicles will be displaced into Hanover Close, requesting restrictions be extended east to the junction with Pipers Close and requesting restrictions be extended outside of no. 34 Barrack Road to protect their access. One item of support was received on this proposal.

7.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings to allow larger vehicles such as emergency services vehicles to pass through.

7.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. It is not possible to implement restrictions beyond what has been consulted upon at this stage.

7.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

7.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

8. Site 16 Chantry Avenue, Wrestwood Road and Abbey View, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)

8.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Wrestwood Road at its junctions with Abbey View and Chantry Avenue.

8.2 One objection has been received from a member of the public commenting on the cycle path which is unrelated to the proposals. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

8.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for junction protection markings.

8.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

8.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

8.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objection and install the proposal as advertised.

9. Site 17 Peartree Lane, Bexhill (Councillor Nuala Geary)

9.1 The proposal at this location is a TRO amendment to allow enforcement. The markings on street do not match the current TRO and we are looking to enforce the existing time limited Mon to Sat 2hrs 8am-6pm.

9.2 Two objections have been received, one from a resident on the grounds that the north bay is unsafe as the road narrows and vehicles must enter oncoming traffic to pass. The second objection left no comment. One item of support was received on this proposal.

9.3 The proposal follows a need to amend the TRO as currently it is unenforceable.

9.4 Having considered the objections, neither of which are considered relevant to the amendment that is being proposed, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

9.5 Councillor Geary has confirmed her support for the proposal to be implemented.

9.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

10. Site 18 Church Hill Avenue and Shepherd's Close, Bexhill (Councillor Nuala Geary)

10.1 The proposal at this location is a TRO amendment to allow enforcement, the installation of a new Blue Badge Holders Only bay and formalisation of an existing Blue Badge Holders Only bay. The markings on street do not match the current TRO and we are looking to enforce the existing time limited Mon to Sat 2hrs 8am-6pm.

10.2 Two objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that not all residents have driveways, the proposals will make parking difficult for them and that there should be a resident permit area. One objection states that restrictions will only work if they are enforced. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

10.3 The proposal follows a need to amend the TRO as currently it is unenforceable. Blue Badge Holders Only bays are needed by residents of these roads.

10.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

10.5 Councillor Geary has confirmed her support for the proposal to be implemented.

10.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

11. Site 19 Cooden Drive, Richmond Avenue and Westcourt Drive, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

11.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions in Cooden Drive at its junctions with Richmond Avenue and Westcourt Drive.

11.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that vehicles have never parked in this location and is therefore unnecessary. Two objections comment on the review in general and do not comment specifically on this proposal. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

11.3 The proposal follows a request from residents for junction protection markings as a number of accidents have occurred at this crossroad.

11.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

11.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

11.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

12. Site 20 Dorset Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

12.1 The proposal at this location is to extend north no waiting at any time restrictions near its junction with Ashdown Road and install new no waiting at any time restrictions on the west side outside nos. 16-25.

12.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that current parked cars provide an incentive for traffic to obey the speed limit. Seven items of support were received on this proposal.

12.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time markings on the bend of Dorset Road because vehicles are parking on the pavement causing an issue for pedestrians and buses.

12.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn, as the restriction will prevent vehicles from parking on the pavement and reducing the width of the pavement.

12.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

12.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

13. Site 21 Hollier's Hill, Chantry Avenue, Jacob's Acre and Church Vale Road, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)

13.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Hollier's Hill at its junctions with Chantry Avenue, Church Vale Road and Jacobs Acre.

13.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that it would remove too many spaces for people visiting the hospital. One objection agrees that restrictions are needed, but the proposals should extend further.

13.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time markings to protect the junctions along Hollier's Hill.

13.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

13.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

13.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

14. Site 22 Richmond Road, Cooden Drive, Richmond Grove and West Parade, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

14.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Richmond Road at its junctions with Cooden Drive and Richmond Grove, and to extend the no waiting at any time at the junction with West Parade on its east side to match the markings on the west side.

14.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that further junction protection markings are needed at the entrance to the flats and at Richmond Close, one objecting to the zone extensions, one requesting zone W extend into Richmond Road, one on the grounds that the proposal won't help residents and one requesting signs to deter people from sleeping in motorhomes due to potential displacement of vehicles from Brockley Road. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

14.3 The proposal follows a need to protect the junctions to allow for appropriate visibility for vehicles turning into and out of the junctions.

14.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

14.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

14.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

15. Site 23 Royston Gardens and Third Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Charles Clark)

15.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Third Avenue at its junctions with Royston Gardens and First Avenue.

15.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill resident on the grounds that further restrictions in Bexhill are unnecessary and one expressing the need for the markings to extend much further than proposed. One item of support was received on this proposal.

15.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings here to prevent vehicles from parking on the corners blocking line of sight.

15.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

15.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Clark has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

15.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

16. Site 24 Terminus Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

16.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along the south side of Terminus Road.

16.2 Thirty-five objections have been received from local residents, 29 of which were from residents of Belmont requesting a speed restriction, a disabled bay and elderly residents warning signs, and hence were not related to the proposal. Four objections were received from police working at the station on the grounds that they would not be able to park nearby as the Wainwright car park is not suitably priced. One objection representing the residents of Collington Avenue was concerned that the extension to the zone will displace vehicles into their road. A further objection received from residents of Belmont was concerned with the consultation itself as they were disappointed they had to travel to County Hall to view the plans in person. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

16.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for no waiting at any time restrictions to prevent vehicles from mounting the kerbs on both north and south sides when they park.

16.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposals are necessary to prevent the current pavement parking which occurs.

16.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

16.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

17. Site 25 Warwick Road, Bexhill (Councillor Abul Azad)

17.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at its junction with Little Common Road.

17.2 Three objections have been received from residents of Squirrel Close on the grounds that restrictions are needed at the junction of Little Common Road and Broadoak Lane. One item of support was received on this proposal.

17.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for no waiting at any time restrictions to protect the junction.

17.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

17.5 Councillor Azad has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

17.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

18. Site 26 Denham Way and Peter James Close, Camber (Councillor Keith Glazier)

18.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions at the junctions.

18.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that the restrictions should run the full length of the west side, between nos. 13 to 18, and include the junction between nos. 26 and 30. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

18.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for no waiting at any time restrictions to protect the junctions and prevent vehicles from parking on the pavement.

18.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

18.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

18.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

19. Site 27 Main Street, Northiam (Councillor Paul Redstone)

19.1 The proposal at this location is to replace the northern length of School Keep Clear markings with no waiting at any time restrictions. The southern length of School Keep Clear markings, which is outside of the school, would remain.

19.2 34 objections have been received, 28 of which requested that the School Keep Clear markings outside of Frewen Preparatory School be extended, which is not related to this proposal, that the markings were installed historically to provide a place for Northiam CE Primary School crossing patrol to operate, the installation of no waiting at any time markings allows Blue Badge Holders to park and is an unnecessary urbanisation, and that School Keep Clear markings should be extended, not removed. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

19.3 The proposal follows a request to remove the School Keep Clear markings as they far extend the boundary of the school. The Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions state 'The length of marking needs to be restricted to one which drivers will respect' and it is considered the current School Keep Clear markings exceed a length that would be respected.

19.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

19.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

19.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

20. Site 28 George Hill, Blenheim Court and George Close, Robertsbridge (Councillor Paul Redstone)

20.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions on George Hill at its junctions with Blenheim Court and George Close.

20.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposal should extend along the west side from Blenheim Court to George Close, that the proposals will encourage vehicles to park on the east side of the road and suggesting speed cameras would help. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

20.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for junction protection markings to help them exiting Blenheim Court and George Close.

20.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto George Hill.

20.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

20.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

21. Site 29 Harbour Road, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)

21.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Harbour Road from its junction with Winchelsea Road.

21.2 Two objections have been received from Icklesham Parish Council and a resident on the grounds that the proposal should extend further eastwards and reach as far as Kingdom Hall. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

21.3 The proposal follows a request from Rother District Councillor Hart and a resident for no waiting at any time restrictions in this location as vehicles park both sides of the road narrowing the road considerably.

21.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

21.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

21.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

22. Site 30 Landgate, Rye (Councillor Keith Glazier)

22.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of Pay and Display with Loading Only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm.

22.2 Six objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that it will remove too many parking spaces and be empty the majority of the time. The objections are also of the view that few businesses need a loading bay in Landgate as most provide services and do not receive deliveries. One item of support was received on this proposal.

22.3 The proposal follows a request from a shop owner for a loading bay to service the shops in the street.

22.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposal will remove parking for approximately two cars and will ensure vehicles do not block the carriageway when they are loading and unloading.

22.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Glazier has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

22.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

23. Site 31 Bodiam Road, Weald View and Sheringham Close, Staplecross (Councillor Paul Redstone)

23.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Bodiam Road at its junctions with Weald View and Sheringham Close.

23.2 Five objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that heavy parking only occurs during weekdays at school opening and closing times, with no problems occurring when the school is closed, and doesn't solve the main problem of more vehicles being used to transport children to school, it will simply displace vehicles into the side roads. Twenty-one items of support were received on this proposal.

23.3 The proposal follows multiple requests for restrictions outside the primary school.

23.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto Bodiam Road. Junctions should be kept clear at all times.

23.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Redstone has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

23.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

24. Site 32 Moor Lane, Main Road, Wheel Lane, Cottage Lane and Workhouse Lane, Westfield (Councillor Carl Maynard)

24.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions along Main Road at the junctions with Wheel Lane and Moor Lane, and Cottage Lane and Workhouse Lane.

24.2 Three objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposed no waiting at any time restriction should extend to the school keep clear markings on the west side of Workhouse Lane and that vehicles do not park on Wheel Lane suggesting that speed reduction measures would be much more appropriate in this location. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

24.3 The proposal follows requests received before Civil Parking Enforcement was introduced for no waiting at any time restrictions along Main Road at these junctions.

24.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as they will allow for suitable visibility for those exiting onto Main Road.

24.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Maynard has not confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

24.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

25. Site 33 Proposed Zone Extensions, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

25.1 The proposal at this location is to extend permit zone C eastwards into Jameson Road, Cantelupe Road, Brassey Road, Knole Road, Middlesex Road and Bolebrooke Road; to extend permit zone E eastwards into Cantelupe Road, Lionel Road, Brookfield Road and Sutton Place; to extend permit zone N northwards into Amherst Road, Millfield Rise and Larkhill; and to extend permit zone W westwards into Wickham Avenue, Woodville Road, Brockley Road and South Cliff.

25.2 Thirty-four objections have been received from Bexhill residents and commuters, on the grounds that zone C should only cover the commercial area, that certain roads have not been included in their respective zones (these include Richmond Road, Richmond Grove, Magdalen Road, De La Warr Road, Wainwright Road and Dorset Road), that the proposals are unnecessary, that it will reduce the number of visitors and the bays currently aren't full. Commuters concerned they won't be able to park near their places of work. Concerns were also raised that the football club will be affected as visitors will need to pay to park. Objections from residents of Collington Avenue were received on the grounds that displacement will force vehicles into their road. One objection received on the grounds that there's plenty of parking for residents already. Twenty items of support were received on this proposal.

25.3 The proposal follows requests received from residents to increase the area of each zone to protect resident parking. Proposals have been drawn up with the aim of balancing permit availability by taking into consideration permit to bay ratios and maximising the available kerb space.

25.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

25.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

25.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

26. Site 34 Brassey Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

26.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side.

26.2 Eight objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that the proposals are discriminatory as residents on the east side will be unable to park outside their properties, that the current restrictions work fine for visitors from outside of the zones and removal of free parking will discourage locals from visiting the town centre.

26.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking. The remaining shared use bays will ensure a turnover of vehicles allowing permit holders the opportunity to park on the east side if they so wish.

26.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

26.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

26.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

27. Site 35 Bolebrooke Road and Middlesex Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

27.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side of Bolebrook Road and the east side of Middlesex Road.

27.2 Four objections have been received from Bexhill residents on the grounds that the proposals create a disadvantage for residents as the current restrictions provide flexibility for their visitors and for users of the MOT garage, and that removal of free parking will discourage locals from visiting the town centre and the seafront. One item of support was received on this proposal.

27.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking.

27.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

27.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

27.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

28. Site 36 De La Warr Parade, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

28.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders or pay and display 2hrs 8am-6pm on the north side of De La Warr Parade.

28.2 Twenty-four objections have been received, sixteen of which are from Bexhill Sailing Club members on the grounds that 2 hours is not long enough for them to unload equipment, sail, and then load their vehicle without extra expense, therefore requesting permits for sailing club members. One objection received from a resident concerned they would have to park in a different road to their property due to the zone change proposals. Two objections received on the grounds that making residents pay to park on the seafront is unfair. One objection received on the grounds that some time limited parking should be kept. One item of support was received on this proposal.

28.3 The proposal follows multiple requests for a greater provision of resident permit parking. Introducing pay and display bays on the north side brings it in line with the south side where pay and display is currently in effect. Visitors wishing to stay longer than 2 hours can use the bays on the seaward side.

28.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

28.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

28.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

29. Site 37 Knole Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

29.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the south side of Knole Road.

29.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill Sailing Club member on the grounds that 2 hours is not long enough for a social activity or sailing without extra expense.

29.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening while still providing time limited visitor parking. Visitors wishing to stay longer than 2 hours can use the bays on the seaward side of De La Warr Parade.

29.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

29.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

29.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

30. Site 38 Linden Road and Albany Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

30.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders and time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west sides of Linden Road and Albany Road.

30.2 Four objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses, and during the day the permit holders only bays are rarely used. One objection was received proposing permit premiums for properties with off street parking as a way to dissuade them from purchasing permits and occupying on street spaces that visitors could use. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

30.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

30.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in the centre of Bexhill close to shops and other businesses.

30.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

30.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

31. Site 39 St Leonards Road, Wilton Road and Sea Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

31.1 The proposal at this location is to replace loading only at any time bays with loading only 8am-6pm bays, to replace a section of permit holders only 8am-6pm with a no waiting at any time restriction across a driveway, and to replace a section of time limited 8am-6pm with a loading only 8am-6pm bay.

31.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses.

31.3 The proposal follows two requests for a loading bay in Sea Road, a request for the parking bay across a recently constructed dropped kerb be removed in Wilton Road and a need to increase the provision of parking outside of shop trading hours.

31.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in these roads and the proposed reduced operating time of the loading bays will increase free available parking after 6pm.

31.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

31.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

32. Site 40 Jameson Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

32.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of permit holders or time limited 8am-6pm with a no waiting at any time restriction across a driveway.

32.2 Two objections have been received, one commenting on the zone change from E to C and one blank. Hence, no comments specific to the actual proposal have been made. Three items of support were received on this proposal.

32.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident for the removal of a bay that covers their dropped kerb.

32.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

32.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

32.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

33. Site 41 Eversley Road and Wilton Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

33.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the west side of Eversley Road and Wilton Road.

33.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that it will remove all free parking which will affect businesses. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

33.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

33.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in the centre of Bexhill close to shops and businesses.

33.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

33.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

34. Site 42 Bedford Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

34.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with permit holders only 8am-6pm on the south and west sides of Bedford Avenue.

34.2 Two objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the proposals should be for both sides of the road. One item of support was received on this proposal.

34.3 The proposal follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

34.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

34.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

34.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

35. Site 43 Cantelupe Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

35.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E.

35.2 Five objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that permit prices are too expensive, there needs to be more free parking in the town, the proposals will stop carers from being able to park and the proposals will cause more unauthorised parking in St Barnabas Church car park. One objection requested that Church Street be included in the zone extension. Forty-eight items of support were received on this proposal.

35.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include Cantelupe Road as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties.

35.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Visitor and carers permits are available for purchase. Requests for further restrictions will be considered as part of the next Rother Parking Review.

35.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

35.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

36. Site 44 De La Warr Parade and Bethune Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

36.1 The proposal at this location is to replace pay and display 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays and replace a section of permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm with a blue badge holders only bay on the north side of De La Warr Parade, install a permit holders only parking area 8am-6pm in Bethune Road, and replace a section of pay and display 8am-6pm with four blue badge holders only bays on the south side of De La Warr Parade where a path has been proposed.

36.2 Eight objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the blue badge holders only bays need to be moved closer to the toilets/access ramp that Bexhill needs free parking and that removing this will stop people from visiting, that the bays on the north side be permit holder only, and that there isn't enough blue badge holder parking. Six items of support were received on this proposal.

36.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include De La Warr Parade as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties. The proposed disabled bays were requested in this location to service the accessibility ramp to the beach.

36.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. To move the disabled bays now would require further consultation.

36.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

36.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

37. Site 45 Dorset Road South, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

37.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a blue badge holders only bay with a section of permit holder or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm.

37.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that there aren't enough blue badge holders only bays for the number of blue badge holders in the area.

37.3 The proposal follows a request to remove the disabled bay as it is no longer required by the resident it was originally installed for. A usage survey was carried out and it was confirmed that this bay is no longer required.

37.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

37.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

37.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

38. Site 46 Lionel Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

38.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E.

38.2 One objection has been received from a local resident on the grounds that we are removing a space outside their property by placing no waiting at any time markings. Fifty-five items of support were received on this proposal.

38.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents for the permit scheme to include Lionel Road as they are finding it increasingly difficult to park close to their properties.

38.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. A bay of sufficient length cannot be accommodated in the location the objector refers to.

38.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

38.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

39. Site 47 Sutton Place, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

39.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only 8am-6pm bays and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone E, and to formalise a blue badge holders only bay.

39.2 Fifteen objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that there are currently no issues with parking and too many spaces will be lost, that parking is already difficult without being charged for it, that we are removing spaces that are comfortably parked in, that residents have plenty of parking already and permits should be given free of charge to residents. Seven items of support were received on this proposal.

39.3 The proposal follows requests for no waiting at any time restrictions and a need to protect residential parking due to the proposed Zone E extension.

39.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Bays of sufficient length cannot be accommodated in the locations the objector refers to. The proposals have been drawn up taking into consideration lines of sight and maximising the available kerb space.

39.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed that he does not support the proposal being implemented.

39.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

40. Site 48 Amherst Road and Mitten Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

40.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays, permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays, no waiting at any time restrictions and to formalise two blue badge holders only bays as part of the extension to Zone N on Amherst Road north of its junction with Chepbourne Road, and to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm with permit holders only Mon to Sat on the west side of Amherst Road and to replace a permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bay with no waiting at any time restrictions south of its junction with Chepbourne Road. The proposal also includes the replacement of a blue badge holders only bay with a section of permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm in Mitten Road.

40.2 Twenty two objections have been received, ten from NHS staff on the grounds that they won't be able to park close to their place of work with some claiming it will affect the services they provide. One objection to the change of bays on the west side on the grounds that the current restrictions work as they are and visitors would fill up the bays on the east side. One objection received on the grounds that the removal of free parking will negatively affect the town. One objection received on the grounds that commuters will need to park elsewhere. Fifteen items of support were received on this proposal.

40.3 The proposal follows multiple requests from residents to extend permit zone N and follows a need to balance the available permit bays with the proposed zone changes and, increase the available parking spaces for residents in the evening.

40.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

40.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

40.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

41. Site 49 Mitten Road and New Park Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

41.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays.

41.2 One objection has been received from a Bexhill resident on the grounds that they do not want their visitors to have to pay to park, and three objections commenting on the removal of free parking in general.

41.3 The proposal follows a need to better utilise the available kerb space by increasing the amount of time motorists can park in the bays. This would provide visitors and commuters a place to park all day close to the town centre.

41.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

41.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

41.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

42. Site 50 Reginald Road, Windsor Road, Leopold Road, Victoria Road and Terminus Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

42.1 The proposal at this location is to replace permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays with permit holders only Mon to Sat 8am-6pm bays on the west side of Reginald Road, east side of Windsor Road, south side of Victoria Road, and west and north sides of Leopold Road. The proposal is also to install new permit holders only bay Mon to Sat 8am-6pm on north side of Terminus Road and to replace sections of permit holders or time limited 2hrs Mon to Sat 8am-6pm with no waiting at any time restrictions where driveway crossovers have been installed on Windsor Road.

42.2 Eighteen objections have been received on the proposals for Windsor Road. Reasons for objecting were that a garage park their customers cars in the time limited bays, that visitors won't be able to park without paying, that parents who attend Tots Play will have to park further away when taking their children to the classes, and that there is plenty of parking for residents already. No objections were received for the proposals on Reginald Road, Leopold Road or Terminus Road. Nine items of support were received on this proposal.

42.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision of permit holder parking for residents and an extension to Zone N in the east end of Terminus Road.

42.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Time limited parking will still be available for visitors in these roads, the proposals will also increase the available parking spaces for residents during the day and in the evening.

42.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

42.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

43. Site 51 Brockley Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

43.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display bays 8am-6pm and no waiting at any time restrictions as part of the extension to Zone W.

43.2 Seventeen objections have been received on the grounds that one resident has a driveway where a bay has been proposed, that there should be no waiting at any time restrictions at the entrance to The Polegrove and tennis courts as pedestrians tend to cross the road at this point, that parking should be free for Bexhill residents, that the proposals will stop people from using the sports facilities, that they will have to park further away, that it will discourage families from visiting the park and that there are very few residences in the road. Three objections from residents of Richmond Road and Richmond Grove on the grounds that the proposals will displace vehicles into these roads. Twenty-four items of support were received on this proposal.

43.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision of permit holder and pay and display parking for residents and visitors and an extension to Zone W.

43.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The driveway the objector refers to does not have a vehicle crossover and has been informed of the correct process to apply for one. Requests for further restrictions will be considered as part of the next review.

43.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

43.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

44. Site 52 Cornwall Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

44.1 The proposal at this location is to install a new blue badge holders only bay for a resident.

44.2 One objection has been received from a resident on the grounds that the road is mostly empty during the day and would benefit from 2hrs time limited as well as permit holders.

44.3 The proposal follows a request for a blue badge holders only bay for a resident.

44.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn as the comments relate to proposals that were not being consulted upon.

44.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

44.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objection and install the proposal as advertised.

45. Site 53 Egerton Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

45.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display 4hrs 8am-6pm bays, blue badge holders only bays and new no waiting at any time restrictions on the north side, replace sections of permit holders only with no waiting at any time restrictions across driveways

on the south side, and remove no waiting at any time restrictions from the service road (TRO amendment only – the no waiting at any time restrictions have never been installed).

45.2 Twenty-two objections have been received on the grounds that the no waiting at any time restrictions in the service road are needed, that the Egerton Park Indoor Bowls Club would like a concession for their members if the proposals are successful, that the removal of short stay parking indirectly discriminates against older people, that enforcement times should be between 3pm-10am so permit holders can use the bays when they actually need them and that there are too many blue badge holders bays being proposed. Four items of support were received on this proposal.

45.3 The proposal follows requests for blue badge holders only bays for visitors of the park and museum, and an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W.

45.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The blue badge holders bays will enable greater access to amenities. A change to the restricted times is not within the scope of this review. The proposals offer a wide range of alternate parking for all users whilst ensuring a turnover of kerb space.

45.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

45.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

46. Site 54 Park Road, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

46.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays on the west side.

46.2 Six objections have been received on the grounds that the removal of free parking will stop people from visiting, requesting morning and afternoon visitor permits and that the prices are unaffordable. One item of support was received on this proposal.

46.3 The proposal follows a request for an increased provision for permit parking.

46.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.

46.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

46.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

47. Site 55 West Parade and South Cliff, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

47.1 The proposal at this location is to replace pay and display 8am-6pm bays with permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm bays on the north side.

47.2 Seven objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that vehicles will be displaced into Richmond Road and South Cliff, that they do not want to see more payment machines on West Parade or South Cliff, that a lot of locals will not be able to afford to park on West Parade and that the north side should be permit holders only. Two items of support were received on this proposal.

47.3 The proposal follows requests for an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W.

47.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. We are not proposing to install any additional payment machines on West Parade or South Cliff and the current tariff has been set as low as possible while still supporting the scheme.

47.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

47.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

48. Site 56 Wickham Avenue, Bexhill (Councillor Ian Hollidge)

48.1 The proposal at this location is to install new permit holders only 8am-6pm bays and replace a section of no waiting at any time with a loading only 8am-6pm bay on the north side, new permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm and replace permit holders only with permit holders or time limited 2hrs 8am-6pm on the south side, and install new no waiting at any time restrictions.

48.2 Five objections have been received, including two on the grounds that commuters will need to park further away, two about the loading bay on the grounds that the charity chose to operate where the no waiting at any time restrictions are and do not need a loading bay and that changing the bays on the south side will see an increase in vehicles being parked all day. Three objections received against no waiting at any time restrictions across driveways as it removes spaces for residents, that the south side should be permit holders only and north side should be shared use as more north side properties have off street parking, that it will increase the number of vehicles looking to park in Woodville Road and that the no waiting at any time restriction at the junction to Brockley Road on the grounds that it is too long.

48.3 The proposal follows requests from residents for an increased provision for permit parking as part of the extension to Zone W. Seventy items of support were received on the proposals.

48.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposed change to the bays on the south side will ensure a high turnover of visiting vehicles. The loading bay will help to service the businesses in the road.

48.5 Councillor Hollidge has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented.

48.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.